

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/870,522	06/01/2001	Norihiro Imamura	KIX0149-US	1361
28970	7590 08/23/2005		EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD			THOMAS, BRANDI N	
MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2873	

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/870,522	IMAMURA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brandi N. Thomas	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repeply within the statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are objected to.</li> </ul>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 June 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	» <b>—</b>	(DTO 445)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/1/01.</li> </ol>		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/870,522

Art Unit: 2873

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. Acknowledgement is made of receipt of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) filed 06/01/01. An initialed copy is attached to this Office Action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brown (4247493).

Regarding claim 8, Brown discloses a method of performing light shielding treatment for a transparent member having a flat surface at least partially and a projection rising in the flat surface, the method comprising the steps of: applying a black material to the flat surface as to the holder portion so as to surround said each lens surface; melting the applied coating; and solidifying the melted coating (col. 2, line 65-68, col. 3, lines 3-10, and col. 4, lines 16-19).

Application/Control Number: 09/870,522

Art Unit: 2873

Regarding claim 9, Brown discloses a method of performing light shielding treatment for a transparent member having a flat surface at least partially and a projection rising in the flat surface, wherein the applied black material constitutes a closed loop which surrounds the projection (col. 3, lines 3-10).

Regarding claim 10, Brown discloses a method of performing light shielding treatment for a transparent member having a flat surface at least partially and a projection rising in the flat surface, wherein the applied black material constitutes a plurality of arc segments spaced from each other (col. 2, lines 65-68).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taniguchi et al. (5023422) in view of Brown (4247493).

Regarding claim 1, Taniguchi et al. discloses, in figures 1 and 11, a method of making a lens array (4) comprising the steps of: forming a resin-molded piece which includes a plurality of lenses (8) each having a convex lens surface (col. 4, lines 47-49), and a holder portion (9) for holding the plurality of lenses (8) but does not specifically disclose applying a coating to the holder portion so as to surround said each lens surface; melting the applied coating; and solidifying the melted coating. Brown discloses applying a coating to the holder portion so as to

Application/Control Number: 09/870,522

Art Unit: 2873

surround said each lens surface; melting the applied coating; and solidifying the melted coating (col. 2, line 65-68, col. 3, lines 3-10, and col. 4, lines 16-19).

Regarding claim 2, Brown discloses a method of making a lens array (4), wherein the plurality of lenses (8) are integral with the holder portion (9) (col. 4, lines 53-55).

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Brown discloses a method of making a lens array (4) but does not specifically disclose the coating comprising solid ink. It would have been obvious to use solid ink, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use (In re Leshin, 125 USPQ). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use solid ink for the purpose of its transparency.

Regarding claim 5, Taniguchi et al. discloses, in figures 1 and 11, a method of making a lens array (4), further comprising the step of forming a plurality of recesses (not labeled, the portion of the holder located below the lenses (8)) in the holder portion (9) for partitioning the plurality of lenses (8) (figure 1).

Regarding claim 6, Taniguchi et al. discloses, in figures 1 and 11, a method of making a lens array (4), further comprising the step of forming a light-shielding layer (11) on wall surfaces defining the plurality of recesses (not labeled, the portion of the holder located below the lenses (8)) (col. 4, lines 61-63).

Regarding claim 7, Taniguchi et al. discloses, in figures 1 and 11, a method of making a lens array (4), further comprising the step of dividing the resin-molded piece into a plurality of individual lens array (figure 11).

Application/Control Number: 09/870,522 Page 5

Art Unit: 2873

Regarding claim 11, Taniguchi et al. discloses, in figures 1 and 11, a lens array comprising: a plurality of lenses (8) each of which has a convex lens surface (col. 4, lines 47-49); a holder portion (9) for holding the lenses (8); a light-shielding member (11) provided at the holder portion (9) (col. 4, lines 61-62); wherein the light-shielding member (11) overlaps a circumferentially peripheral portion of each lens surface (col. 4, lines 61-63 and col. 6, lines 11-14).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The prior art taken either singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of the independent claim(s), in such a manner that a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103 would be proper. The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in claim(s) 12, wherein the claimed invention comprises a lens array including a first and a second light-shielding layer which are made of different materials and the second light-shielding layer being formed at the holder portion so as to surround the first light-shielding layer, as claimed.

Art Unit: 2873

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandi N. Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-2341. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 571-272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BNT

RICHY L. MACK
PRIMARY EXAMINER

2010